Basin-wide estimates of the input of methane from seeps and clathrates to the Black Sea
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Abstract

Numerous methane-emitting bottom features, such as seeps, methane clathrate hydrates (clathrates), and mud volcanoes, have been identified recently in the Black Sea. The fluxes of methane from these sources averaged over large spatial scales are unknown. Here we take advantage of the fact that the Black Sea is a semi-enclosed basin with restricted deep water circulation to establish first-order estimates of basin-wide fluxes of methane from these sources to the water column and atmosphere. First, we measured the natural radiocarbon content of methane (14C–CH4) dissolved in the water column and emitted from seeps. The 14C–CH4 results showed that the dominant source of methane to the water column is emitted from seeps and a smaller source is diagenetically produced in relatively modern sediments. The 14C–CH4 results were then used to partition a basin-wide total methane budget; this analysis estimated the basin-wide flux of methane from seeps and clathrates to the water column to be 3.60 to 4.28 Tg yr−1. Second, a geochemical box model was used to calculate possible distributions of methane inputs from seeps and clathrates as well as provide additional estimates of the basin-wide flux of methane from seeps and clathrates to the water column (4.95 to 5.65 Tg yr−1).
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1. Introduction

As the world’s largest anoxic basin, the Black Sea has been the site of numerous studies on methane (CH4) biogeochemistry (e.g. [1–14]). Concentration and oxidation rate measurements of CH4 dissolved in the water column and sediments [1–6] have been used to assemble CH4 geochemical budgets for the entire Black Sea [1] and the north-western shelf [5]. These studies indicate that the Black Sea is the largest surface water reservoir of dissolved CH4 (96 Tg) of which 0.066 Tg yr−1 are emitted into the atmosphere [1].

During the last decade, numerous seeps and mud volcanoes, emitting or capable of emitting CH4, have been identified on the shelf and slope of the northern Black Sea [7–11]. Methane clathrate hydrates (clathrates), an important global CH4 reservoir estimated to contain over 103 times more CH4 than the atmosphere [15], are also present in deep Black Sea sediments [11,12], and represent an unknown CH4 source. The stability of clathrates is governed by pressure, temperature, and CH4 concentration.
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[16–19]. Even in a pressure–temperature zone where clathrates are stable, a clathrate will decompose if the water surrounding it contains a CH$_4$ concentration below what is necessary to form clathrates. This condition is not met for clathrates outcropping at the seafloor and in some sediments. While a few direct observations of individual seeps have led to a regional estimate of fluxes of CH$_4$ from seeps to the atmosphere [7], there are no estimates of the quantity of CH$_4$ contributed to the entire Black Sea by these sources. Recent discoveries of globally distributed seeps suggest that CH$_4$ released from seeps and clathrates may play a role in the global and oceanic CH$_4$ budget [10,20,21] and climate change [22–24]. One of the limitations to understanding the role of these reservoirs in global carbon cycles and climate change is that there have been few attempts to extend individual observations to larger spatial scales.

Here we present first-order estimates for basin-wide fluxes of CH$_4$ from seeps and clathrates to the water column and atmosphere in the Black Sea. To estimate these basin-wide fluxes, we conducted both measurement and modeling studies, which give similar results. The measurement studies involved two main investigations. First, the total source of CH$_4$ into the waters of the Black Sea was determined. If the concentration of CH$_4$ in the waters of the Black Sea is in steady-state, then the total source of CH$_4$ to water column is balanced by the total sink of CH$_4$ from the water column. Reeburgh et al. [1] quantified the magnitudes of the sinks of CH$_4$ from the waters of the Black Sea (Table 2) and we present δ$^{13}$C–CH$_4$ data that suggests that the CH$_4$ concentration is in steady-state. Second, the total source of CH$_4$ into the waters of the Black Sea was partitioned between a) CH$_4$ that is released from seeps and decomposing clathrates and b) CH$_4$ that is produced diagenetically in relatively modern sediments and released to the water column. We measured the natural radiocarbon content of CH$_4$ ($^{14}$C–CH$_4$) in the Black Sea (Fig. 1, Table 1) and performed a $^{14}$C–CH$_4$ isotope mass balance to determine the fraction of CH$_4$ emitted to the water column that is from seeps and decomposing clathrates. (Previous $^{14}$C–CH$_4$ measurements from seeps and clathrates show that they are both devoid of natural radiocarbon [25–27], so radiocarbon measurements cannot distinguish between CH$_4$ released from seeps and clathrates; for brevity, we use the term “seep” to apply to both.) This fraction was multiplied by the total source of CH$_4$ to the Black Sea, resulting in an estimate of the flux of CH$_4$ from seeps to the water column (3.60 to 4.28 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) and atmosphere (0.05 to 0.21 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$).

Fig. 1. Black Sea sampling locations and deep faults. (⊙) July 1988 sample site (CH$_4$ concentration, CH$_4$ oxidation rates, and δ$^{13}$C–CH$_4$) [1,50]; (⊙) July 1988 shelf sample site (CH$_4$ concentration in sediment); (⊗) May 2001 sample site (water column: CH$_4$ concentration, δ$^{13}$C–CH$_4$, $^{14}$C–CH$_4$); and (⊕) September 2004 sample site (seep gas collection). The map is from Vassilev and Dimitrov [12] with the following symbols: (1) Gas hydrate sampling (see Table 1 in Vassilev and Dimitrov [12] for numbering); (2) areas with seismic indications of gas hydrates; (3) areas of high gas hydrates prospect; (4) mud volcanoes; (5) areas of intensive fluid discharging; (6) gas seepage and seabed pockmarks; (7) mine submarine fans. The solid lines are deep faults interpolated after Kutas et al. [64].
The modeling studies involved modifying a time-dependent geochemical box model [28] to include a source term for CH₄ emitted from seeps and adhere to the parameters of the Black Sea. The modeling results estimate depth distributions and magnitudes (4.95 to 5.65 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹) of the input of CH₄ from seeps to the water column.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Measuring the flux of methane from seeps

2.1.1. Total methane budget

The total Black Sea CH₄ budget was assembled from CH₄ concentration and anaerobic oxidation of CH₄ (AOM) rate measurements made during the 1988 U.S.-Turkey Black Sea Expedition [1] (Table 1). Measurements of CH₄ dissolved in the water column (concentration and AOM rates) were restricted to a central station (43°05′N, 34°00′E, 2200 m depth) well-removed from shelves, and were intended to represent a basin-wide integration of processes affecting the Black Sea CH₄ distribution and budget. Distributions of CH₄ concentration in sediment cores were measured at a shelf station (41°35.5′N, 28°56.92′E, 170 m depth) and a deep station (43°04.82′N, 33°58.88′E, 2212 m depth) (Fig. 1). Water column profiles of CH₄ concentration and AOM rates were assumed to represent average Black Sea values so that a first-order estimate of the Black Sea CH₄ budget could be established. This budget was based on the following sinks of CH₄: measured water column and sediment rates of AOM, calculated gas evasion rates to the atmosphere, and export by the Bosporus outflow (Table 2). Reeburgh et al. [1] determined that the dominant sink of CH₄, AOM in the water column, is about 70-fold larger than the next largest sink of CH₄, evasion at the air:sea interface.

This budget assumed that the CH₄ concentration in the waters of the Black Sea is in steady-state [1], so the total loss of CH₄ must be balanced with a CH₄ source of the same magnitude (Table 2). Only CH₄ produced diagenetically in sediments was considered a source in the original budget [1]. Thermodynamic arguments indicate that there can be no large-scale production of CH₄ in the water column so long as sulfate reduction is occurring [1,29,30]. Measurements by Albert et al. [31] show that sulfate reduction occurs in the water column of the Black Sea at nM day⁻¹ rates, so we conclude that large-scale methanogenesis cannot occur in the anoxic Black Sea water column. A minor contribution of CH₄ to the water column may be from zooplankton guts and
fecal pellet microenvironments [32–34]. Globally, only nM water column CH₄ concentrations have been reported from these sources [35–42]. Given the relatively high Black Sea water column CH₄ concentrations (μM) (Fig. 2), zooplankton guts and fecal pellet microenvironments likely provide ≤1% of the total source of CH₄ to the water column.

At the shelf station, the CH₄ concentration measured in a sediment core displayed a concave up distribution which shows that AOM is occurring [43]. A small positive CH₄ concentration gradient between the surface sediments and the water column indicates that sediments on the shelf are a source of CH₄ to the water column. In contrast, CH₄ concentrations in sediments at the deep station are lower than in the adjacent overlying waters, indicating that these sediments are consuming CH₄ from the water column. This was confirmed by AOM rate measurements using ¹⁴C-labelled CH₄ [1]. The Reeburgh et al. budget assumed that sediments below the anoxic:oxic interface (100 m) and above the continental slope-abyssal plane transition (1500 m) were the source of CH₄ to the water column [1]. Given this source interval, a flux of CH₄ from sediments of 1.5 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹ is needed to balance the sinks and maintain a steady-state CH₄ concentration in the water column. However, they determined the flux of CH₄ from the shelf core to be 0.2 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹ leaving 86.7% of the balancing source flux not quantified [1]. Subsequent measurements of CH₄ concentration profiles in sediment cores [5,6] indicate that the diffusive flux of CH₄ from sediments to the water

![Fig. 2. Measured Black Sea CH₄ concentration (µM) and isotope (δ¹³C–CH₄ and ¹⁴C–CH₄) data in the water column. The δ¹³C–CH₄ data is expressed vs. VPDB (Vienna Peedee belemnite) standard. The ¹⁴C–CH₄ data is expressed as percent Modern Carbon (pMC) [47]. By convention [47], all radiocarbon results are normalized to ¹³C. Samples were collected in the (○) central Black Sea water column in July 1988 [1,50] and (▲) western Black Sea water column in May 2001. Precision of ±1σ for the: 1) CH₄ concentration measurements is 3–4% based on replicate analyses of samples, 2) δ¹³C–CH₄ measurements is 0.3‰ based on replicate analyses of standard samples, and 3) ¹⁴C–CH₄ measurements is 0.1 pMC. Error bars for the δ¹³C–CH₄ and ¹⁴C–CH₄ measurements are less than the width of the data points. A previously published multi-box model [28] was used to quantify the depth distributions of the inputs of CH₄[S] and to provide additional estimates of the basin-wide flux of CH₄[S]. This model was modified to have box thicknesses of 100 m, to not consider depths less than 150 m due to low water column CH₄ concentrations and oxic conditions in the shallow surface waters, and to match the parameters of the Black Sea (bathymetry and eddy diffusion coefficients). The model was initiated with no CH₄ in the basin and was run for 200 yr to reach steady-state. The inputs of CH₄[S] were varied until the modeled CH₄ concentration profile matched the measurements made in year 2001. LEFT PANEL: The model was assigned inputs of CH₄[D] (150–1550 m: 0.2 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹, 1550–2050 m: 0.1 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹, 2050–2150 m: 0.02 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹). The following model results are displayed for CH₄ concentration and inputs of CH₄[S]: (solid black line) model dependent upon the CH₄ concentration data represented by (▲), (dashed line) model dependent upon a uniform CH₄ concentration profile of 13.32 µM below 700 m depth, (dotted line) model dependent upon a uniform CH₄ concentration profile of 11.82 µM below 700 m depth, (solid gray line) model dependent upon average values of CH₄ concentration. The inputs of seep and clathrate CH₄ represented by the dashed, dotted, and solid gray lines are not plotted in histogram style only to increase legibility. RIGHT PANEL: The model was dependent upon the CH₄ concentration data represented by (▲) in the left panel. The solid black line represents the model results with the assigned inputs of CH₄[D] used in the left panel. The dashed line represents the model results with the assigned inputs of CH₄[D] as suggested by Reeburgh et al. [1]. The dotted line represents the model results with the assigned inputs of CH₄[D] as suggested by Reeburgh et al. [1] and with an additional input of 0.2 mol CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹ from 2050 to 2150 m.
column may be even smaller. How the CH₄ concentration and AOM rate distributions in the water column are maintained with this small diffusive source of CH₄ from sediments is a major puzzle. The recently reported seeps [7–14] appear to provide the balancing flux of CH₄.

2.1.2. Methane concentration, δ¹³C, and radiocarbon measurements

Water samples were collected from May 26 to June 3, 2001, on board the R/V Knorr within a 4.24 km radius of a station located in the western section of the Black Sea (42°30.21′N, 30°45.21′E, 2100 m) (Table 1, Fig. 1). Concentrations of CH₄ (µM) were measured with a headspace equilibration technique based on Henry’s Law. Samples were prepared for seawater CH₄ concentration analyses by filling 120 cc serum vials directly from Niskin bottles. After the seawater vials were sealed with stoppers and crimp caps, a 10 cc headspace of ultrahigh-purity helium was introduced by displacing an equivalent volume of sample. The vials were vigorously shaken and allowed to equilibrate for 12 h. Shipboard CH₄ concentration analyses were performed by analyzing two 5 cc aliquots of the headspace with gas chromatography and flame ionization detection (GC-Mini 2; Shimadzu Corp). The results have been corrected for the amount of CH₄ still dissolved in solution using Bunsen solubility coefficients established by Yamamoto et al. [44] (Fig. 2).

Lamont radon stripping boards [45,46] were modified to quantitatively extract and trap the CH₄ dissolved in seawater for natural isotopic analysis. For each sample, an evacuated 20 L glass carboy was filled with 19 L of seawater directly from Niskin bottles. The carboy was connected to a stripping board which circulated helium through the seawater sample to extract the dissolved CH₄. The extracted CH₄ was trapped at liquid nitrogen temperature in a stainless steel U-trap, filled with a molecular sieve. (Due to low water column concentrations of CH₄ at and above 300 m water depth, CH₄ was extracted from two carboys (38 L) for the shallowest samples.) The traps were returned to UC Irvine where the CH₄ was extracted, purified, and analyzed for the natural content of ¹³C and radiocarbon. The entire CH₄ collection, extraction, and analysis procedures are quantitative and the backgrounds are small (0.52±0.39 µmol of CH₄ with radiocarbon content ¹⁴C/C=96.1±0.3 pMC (percent Modern Carbon) [47]) relative to the average sample size (228 µmol). Details of the isotope procedures (apparatus, techniques, blank determinations, precision, and the lack of isotope fractionation) are presented in Kessler and Reeburgh [48].

Gas emitted from seeps was collected from September 10 to 26, 2004, on board the F/S Poseidon with the submersible JAGO. Gas from five seeps located around 44°46.48′N, 31°59.42′E (average depth of 222 m) was sampled (Table 1, Fig. 1) and the isotope procedures were adapted to analyze the seep gas for δ¹³C–CH₄ and ¹⁴C–CH₄ [48].

Since there are no time-series data for the concentrations of CH₄ at a single station in the Black Sea, we use the δ¹³C–CH₄ data to test the steady-state assumption in three separate investigations. First, a stable isotope equation, derived to describe an “open-system” where CH₄ is continually added from seeps while simultaneously being removed by reaction, predicts that the Black Sea is in steady-state. When the measured values of δ¹³C–CH₄ emitted from seeps and dissolved in the water column are input into this equation, along with the isotopic fractionation factors for AOM, this equation predicts that the rate at which CH₄ is input from seeps equals the rate at which CH₄ is removed by reaction [49]. Second, the concentration of CH₄ dissolved in the water column is on average 11.5% higher in the western Black Sea (measured in 2001) than in the central Black Sea (measured in 1988) (Fig. 2). If we assume that the CH₄ concentration values measured in 1988 and 2001 represent average values for the entire basin and not spatial heterogeneities in CH₄ concentration, then the CH₄ concentration has increased by 11.5% over a 13 yr period. This increase in CH₄ inventory would cause the δ¹³C of CH₄ dissolved in the water column to decrease by 1.4±0.7% over this 13 yr period [49]. While this difference is small, our measurements of δ¹³C–CH₄ are indistinguishable from those conducted 13 yr ago [50] (Fig. 2). This suggests that the Black Sea is in steady-state with regard to CH₄ and the 11.5% difference between the CH₄ concentration profiles possibly displays the spatial heterogeneities of CH₄ concentration (Figs. 1 and 2). Third, Tans [51] showed that the time-scales for changes in the isotope ratio and the large-scale spatial isotopic gradients of a reservoir are often longer than they are for changes in total CH₄ concentration (i.e. isotopic steady-state is reached after concentration steady-state). Since our δ¹³C–CH₄ results show no temporal (1988 to 2001) or spatial (central to western Black Sea) variation, the steady-state assumption in the Black Sea CH₄ budget [1] may be appropriate. The possibility exists of non-steady-state conditions above the shallow shelves (0–500 m depth) where episodic intrusions of CH₄ from seeps influence the water column CH₄ concentration. However, this region of possible non-steady-state accounts for only 3% of the total volume of the Black Sea [52].
The source of CH₄ dissolved in the Black Sea water column is dominated by CH₄ that is radiocarbon-free (fossil; pMC=0), but also contains a smaller source of CH₄ with relatively modern contents of radiocarbon (Fig. 2). The concentration weighted average of the ¹⁴C–CH₄ data in the water column is 15.7±6.7 pMC (Eq. 1).

\[
pMC_{\text{Ave}} = \frac{\sum_{i} ([\text{CH}_4]_i \times V_i \times pMC_i)}{\sum_{i} ([\text{CH}_4]_i \times V_i)} \tag{1}
\]

Here, [CH₄]ᵢ, Vᵢ, and pMCᵢ are the CH₄ concentration, percent volume [52], and ¹⁴C–CH₄, respectively, in the depth interval i.

The results of our ¹⁴C–CH₄ measurements on gas emitted from seeps were unexpected; all samples of CH₄ emitted from seeps (CH₄[S]) contain measurable amounts of radiocarbon (5.0±0.4 pMC; 24 kA¹⁴C BP (thousand radiocarbon years Before Present)). We have no explanation for this finding; a possible reason why this CH₄[S] is not radiocarbon-free is that CH₄, generated from late Eocene source rock [53], acquires CH₄ with modern radiocarbon contents during transit through recently deposited sediments. Gulin et al. [8] indirectly estimated the radiocarbon content of Black Sea CH₄[S] assuming that carbonate in structures formed around CH₄ seeps [10] is formed from a mixture of seawater bicarbonate and the product of AOM. Their results (8.5‰ heavier [10,13] and δ¹³C content of CH₄[S]. Based on δ¹³C and radiocarbon values of Black Sea carbonate structures did not include other reports of values up to 10‰ heavier [10,13]. These two factors cause the upper range reported by Gulin et al. [8] to increase to 18.2 kA¹⁴C BP (or 10.4 pMC), and show how sensitive their indirect analysis is to parameter changes.

There are no ¹⁴C–CH₄ measurements in the Black Sea of CH₄ produced diagenetically in sediments (CH₄[D]). However, CH₄ formed at relatively shallow depths in sediments can diffuse into the water column and should contain measurable amounts of radiocarbon (pMC>0) and possibly a radiocarbon content influenced by atmospheric nuclear weapons testing (pMC>100). Recent ¹⁴C–CH₄ measurements in the sediments of the Cariaco Basin [57] and Skan Bay, AK [27] show that near-modern radiocarbon values are found in near-surface sediments and the ¹⁴C content decreases with depth; this indicates that CH₄ is produced locally and is not dominated by CH₄ diffusing up from deep sediments [55,58].

Previous radiocarbon measurements aimed at determining the Black Sea sediment chronology show that in the interval of 0 to 50 cm depth, the total organic carbon and total carbonate carbon ranges from 105 to 63 pMC [59,60]. Decadal turnover times for CH₄[D], determined from concentration and oxidation rate measurements of CH₄ [1,5,6], indicate that CH₄[D] likely has a similar radiocarbon signature to its substrates, the total carbon material. Since CH₄ dissolved in the Black Sea water column also has decadal turnover times [1], the ¹⁴C–CH₄ results indicate that the source of CH₄ to the water column is a mixture of CH₄[S] and CH₄[D].

2.1.3. Partitioning the total flux of methane to the water column

To estimate the magnitude of the flux of CH₄[S] to the water column, first we determine the fraction of the total source of CH₄ to the water column that is emitted from seeps and then we multiply that fraction by the total flux of Black Sea CH₄ to the water column (4.72 Tg yr⁻¹ = sum of the sinks in Table 2). We used a radiocarbon isotopic mass balance to determine the fraction of the total CH₄ source this is emitted from seeps (Eq. 2).

\[
pMC_S \times F + pMC_D \times (1–F) = pMC_W \tag{2}
\]

Here, \(F\) is the fraction of the source of CH₄ that is emitted from seeps and pMCₕ, pMCₖ, and pMC₇ are the ¹⁴C–CH₄ contents of CH₄[S] (5.0±0.4 pMC), CH₄[D], and CH₄ dissolved in the water column (15.7±6.7 pMC), respectively. We have no direct measurements of the ¹⁴C–CH₄ content of CH₄[D]. Based on radiocarbon measurements of total organic and carbonate carbon in the sediment [59,60], the most likely radiocarbon content of CH₄[D] is between 63 and 105 pMC. We have placed conservative bounds on the radiocarbon signature of CH₄[D] in the four cases presented in Table 3. This analysis indicates that the basin-wide flux of CH₄[S] to the water column is likely between 3.60 and 4.28 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ (Table 3).

For CH₄ dissolved in the near surface waters of the Black Sea, 0.066 Tg yr⁻¹ evade into the atmosphere (Table 2) [1]. The average ¹⁴C–CH₄ content in the
To atmosphere 0.061±0.002 0.060±0.002 0.058±0.003 0.053±0.005
To water column 4.28±0.27 4.19±0.32 4.00±0.42 3.60±0.64
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Table 3
Estimates of inputs of CH₄(S) in the Black Sea based on radiocarbon measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methane Input (Tg yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Assumed CH₄[D] percent Modern Carbon (pMC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To water column</td>
<td>4.28±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.19±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.00±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.60±0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

surface 250 m of the water column is 13.7±3.7 pMC. We estimate a range of fluxes of CH₄(S) to the atmosphere in the Black Sea (Table 3) for CH₄ dissolved in the near surface waters that evades to the atmosphere (0.05 to 0.06 Tg yr⁻¹); bubbles that enter the atmosphere are not considered in this estimate. Dimitrov [7] found this to be a substantial flux (0.03 to 0.15 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹) compared with our flux of dissolved CH₄, so to account for the shallow water bubble flux, we adjust the total flux of CH₄(S) to the atmosphere in the Black Sea to 0.05 to 0.21 Tg yr⁻¹.

2.2. Modeling the flux of methane from seeps

We apply a time-dependent geochemical box model [28] to the anoxic region (150–2150 m depth) of the Black Sea to quantify the depth distribution of inputs of CH₄(S) as well as to provide an additional estimate of the basin-wide input of CH₄(S). The model includes a source term for CH₄(S), assumes the concentration of CH₄ dissolved in the water column is in steady-state, uses the Black Sea bathymetry [52], and assigns each box a thickness of 100 m. The model incorporates the specific rate of AOM in the water column (0.06 yr⁻¹) [1]. (Rates of AOM in the water column have been shown to increase linearly with CH₄ concentration [61]. Specific rates of AOM are normalized to CH₄ concentration, so that they may be accurately applied to different concentration regions.) Also, the model includes a term for the consumption of CH₄ from the water column by abyssal sediments (0.1 mmol m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Table 2) [1]. Our multi-box model is one-dimensional (vertical), so the possibility exists that there are regions in the area defined by each box where CH₄ is being inputted to the water column from sediments and separate regions where CH₄ is being consumed from the water column by the sediments. Initially, we assigned inputs of CH₄[D] to the water column over the depth interval of 150 to 1550 m, at a rate of 0.2 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹, as suggested by Reeburgh et al. [1] (Table 2).

The model was initiated with no CH₄ in the Black Sea water column and was run for 200 yr (time step = 0.1 yr), significantly longer than the model predicts is necessary to reach steady-state. The inputs of CH₄(S) were varied until the modeled concentrations of CH₄ dissolved in the water column agreed with the year 2001 western Black Sea measurements to less than 0.6% on average. No isotopic data is input into the model, so the newly predicted inputs of CH₄(S) and the assigned values of CH₄[D] can be used to model a ¹⁴C–CH₄ profile in the water column (Fig. 2). This model predicts a ¹⁴C–CH₄ profile containing significantly less radiocarbon than our measurements in the deep Black Sea. We conclude that the deep waters of the Black Sea must have an additional source of CH₄ with relatively modern radiocarbon contents. To account for this additional source of relatively “modern” CH₄, we conducted two modeling experiments where we manually increased the deep basin sources of CH₄[D] beyond what was suggested by Reeburgh et al. [1] (Fig. 2.).

Inputs of CH₄(S) are predicted at most depths between 250 and 2150 m (Fig. 2), consistent with the distribution of known seeps, clathrates, mud volcanoes, and seabed pockmarks (Fig. 1) [8,12,14]. The model-predicted input profile of CH₄(S) shows local maxima or minima at 700, 1100, and 1700 m depth consistent with congruent fluctuations in the mean values of CH₄ concentration at similar depths. However, the uncertainty in our concentration measurements is such that a uniform CH₄ concentration profile below 700 m depth is possible. To account for this possibility, we have conducted two additional modeling experiments dependent upon uniform CH₄ concentration values (below 700 m depth) at either the lower end (11.82 µM) or the upper end (13.32 µM) of the standard deviations of our CH₄ concentration measurements. These “uniform CH₄ concentration” models predict more uniform distributions for the inputs of CH₄(S) (Fig. 2).

Due to the large predicted inputs of CH₄(S), this model is relatively insensitive to the water column eddy diffusion coefficients. Changing the eddy diffusion coefficients from 1 to 4 cm² s⁻¹ in the anoxic region of the Black Sea only changes the final results by 3.7% on average. Also, CH₄(S) released below the clathrate stability zone (700 m) will partially resist dissolution due to the formation of a clathrate mantle around the bubbles [62]. If CH₄(S) only dissolved in waters above 700 m depth, eddy diffusion in the water column could not
maintain CH₄ concentration and radiocarbon profiles, so bubbles must dissolve in deep waters or CH₄ must be added in solution.

Averaged over the entire basin, our results indicate that 4.95 to 5.65 Tg yr⁻¹ (0.73 to 0.84 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹) of CH₄[S] are being added to the water column. Since this multi-box model is one-dimensional (vertical), it assumes that the CH₄ concentration profile measured in the western Black Sea water column is representative of average values for the entire basin. In Section 2.1, Measuring the flux of methane from seeps, we assumed that the CH₄ concentration profile measured in the central Black Sea water column is representative of average values for the entire basin, again to estimate the basin-wide inputs of CH₄[S]. Since the CH₄ concentration profile measured in the western Black Sea water column is on average 11.5% greater than in the central Black Sea, these separate estimates of the basin-wide inputs of CH₄[S] likely bound the true value.

3. Conclusions

The contribution of decomposing clathrates to the global CH₄ budget remains a major uncertainty [63]. Substantial microbial oxidation in adjacent sediments and overlying waters precludes the use of stable isotopes of CH₄ to identify the fraction of CH₄ dissolved in the water column or sediment that is released from clathrates. Rehder et al. [19] measured the rate of dissolution of synthetic CH₄ clathrate in the clathrate stability zone (P, T) in an advecting field of seawater that was undersaturated with respect to CH₄ concentration. Since natural clathrates are usually located within a sediment matrix surrounded by CH₄-rich or CH₄-saturated fluids, the Rehder et al. rates place an upper bound on the decomposing clathrate contribution (11670 ± 950 mol CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹). Presuming the fluxes of CH₄[S] estimated in this study are all of clathrate origin, the Black Sea clathrate decomposition rate is 0.53 to 0.84 mol CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹, or 10⁵-fold smaller.

In conclusion, we have estimated the basin-wide flux of CH₄ emitted from seeps and decomposing clathrates to the water column and atmosphere in the Black Sea. The radiocarbon results indicate that the flux of CH₄ to the water column is dominated by emissions from seeps and decomposing clathrates. Our measurements and modeling studies indicate that between 3.60 to 5.65 Tg yr⁻¹ of CH₄ emitted from seeps and decomposing clathrates enter the Black Sea water column and 0.05 to 0.21 Tg yr⁻¹ escape to the atmosphere. These estimates of the fluxes of CH₄ emitted from seeps and decomposing clathrates to the Black Sea may be refined with long term sampling programs; multiple sites can be established characterizing the entire Black Sea where high precision measurements of CH₄ concentration, rates of AOM, and natural isotopes of CH₄ are routinely made.
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